EPA ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE CONTROL NUMBER FORM FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

This form was originated by Wanda I. Santiago for Tone Dandyould Santiago for Name of Case Attorney	15
in the ORC (RAA) at 918-1113 Office & Mail Code Phone number	
Case Docket Number 110A-01-2015-0031	
Site-specific Superfund (SF) Acct. Number	
This is an original debt This is a modification	
Name and address of Person and/or Company/Municipality making the payment:	
Donald Dorr	
Dorr Dil Company, Tir.	
595 Bonnet Street	
Manchester Center, VT 05255	
Total Dollar Amount of Receivable \$ 8,200 Due Date: 41215	
SEP due? Yes No Date Due	
Installment Method (if applicable)	
INSTALLMENTS OF:	
I st \$on	
2 nd \$ on	
3 rd \$ on	
4 th \$on	
5 th \$on	
For RHC Tracking Purposes:	
Copy of Check Received by RHC Notice Sent to Finance	
TO BE FILLED OUT BY LOCAL FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE:	
IFMS Accounts Receivable Control Number	
If you have any questions call: in the Financial Management Office Phone Number	



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Region 1 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

BY HAND

March 12, 2015

PECEIVED

MAR 1 2 2015

EPA ORC

Office of Regional Hearing Clerk

Wanda Santiago Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Region 1 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Mail Code ORA18-1 Boston, MA 02109-3912

Re: In the Matter of City of Dorr Oil Company, Inc., Manchester Center, Vermont 05255;

CWA-01-2015-0031

Dear Ms. Santiago,

Enclosed for filing, please find an Expedited Settlement Agreement (ESA) settling the matter referenced above.

Pursuant to EPA Order Classification No. 2551.1A, dated June 7, 2006, the Regional Hearing Clerk (RHC) shall send a copy of the ESA in any Clean Water Act (CWA) case assessing a penalty under the authority of Section 311 of the CWA to:

U.S. EPA Cincinnati Finance Center 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive (MS-002) Cincinnati, OH 45268

In addition, the RHC must pass along the name and address of the regional attorney responsible for any collection recommendation if the civil debt becomes delinquent. For this case, the responsible attorney is:

Tonia Bandrowicz
Senior Enforcement Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency – Region 1
5 Post Office Square, Suite 100
Mail Code OES04-3

Boston, MA 02109-3912 Tel: (617) 918-1734

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Heather L. Thompson
OES Legal Office

Enclosure



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 1, 5 POST OFFICE SQUARE, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS 02109-3912

EXPEDITED SPCC SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Docket No. CWA-01-2015-0031

On April 3, 2013, an authorized representative of the On April 3, 2013, an authorized representative of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") conducted an inspection at Dorr Oil Company, Inc. ("Respondent"), located at 595 Bonnet Street, Manchester Center, Vermont 05255 to determine compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations promulgated at 40 C.F.R. part 112 under Section 311(j) of the Clean Water Act (the "Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1321(j). EPA determined that Respondent, as owner or operator of the facility violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) facility, violated regulations implementing Section 311(j) of the Act by failing to comply with the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations as noted on the attached Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan ("SPCC") Inspection Findings and Violations Form ("Violations Form") which is hereby incorporated by reference. By its first signature below, EPA ratifies the Inspection Findings and Violations set forth in the Violations Form.

The parties enter into this Expedited Settlement in order to settle the civil violations described in the Violations Form for a penalty of \$8,200. The parties are authorized to enter into this Expedited Settlement under the authority of Section 311(b) (6) (B) (i) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1321(b) (6) (B) (i), and by 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b).

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

EPA finds the Respondent is subject to the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations, and has violated the regulations as further described in the Violations Form. Respondent admits it is subject to the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations and that EPA has jurisdiction over Respondent and Respondent's conduct as described in the Violations Form. Respondent admits to the facts in the first paragraph of this Settlement Agreement, and waives any objections it may have to EPA's jurisdiction. Respondent consents to the assessment of the penalty stated above.

Respondent further certifies, subject to civil and criminal penalties for making a false submission to the United States Government, that the violations identified in the Violations Form have been corrected and the facility is now in full compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations (or that the violations will be corrected and the facility brought in full compliance with the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations within an alternative time frame agreed to by EPA in writing). Respondent has sent a certified check in the amount of \$8,200, payable to the Environmental Protection Agency, to: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Fines and Penalties, P.O. Box 979077, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000. This check shall reference the docket number of the case and the "Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund - 311." Respondent shall send a copy of the check to Heather Thompson, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1, 5 Post Office Square (OES04-4), Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912, and to the Regional Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 1, 5 Post Office Square (ORC-18), Boston, Massachusetts 02109-3912.

Upon signing and returning this Expedited Settlement to EPA, Respondent waives the opportunity for a hearing or appeal pursuant to Section 311 of the Act, and consents to EPA's approval of the Expedited Settlement without further notice.

This Expedited Settlement is binding on the parties signing below, and is effective upon filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.31(b).

Once the Expedited Settlement is signed by the Regional Judicial Officer, the original Expedited Settlement will be filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk and a copy will be mailed to: U.S. EPA Cincinnati Finance Office, 26 W. Martin Luther King Drive (MS-002), Cincinnati, OH 45268. A copy of the Expedited Settlement will also be mailed to the Respondent.

If Respondent does not sign and return this Expedited Settlement as presented within 30 days of the date of its receipt, the proposed Expedited Settlement is withdrawn without prejudice to EPA's ability to file any other enforcement action for the violations identified in the Violations Form.

After this Expedited Settlement becomes effective, EPA will take no further civil penalty action against Respondent for the violations of the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations described in the Violations Form through the order date of this Expedited Agreement. However, EPA does not waive any rights to take any enforcement action for any other past, present, or future violations by Respondent of the Oil Pollution Prevention regulations or of any other federal statute or regulations.

APPROVED BY EPA:
Mem 200 m Date: 2/25/15
Joanna Jerison, Legal Enforcement Manager Office of Environmental Stewardship
APPROVED BY RESPONDENT:
Name (print): DONALD DORR
Title (print): PRES
Signature: Dorolf Dan Date: 3/3/15
IT IS CO OPPEDED.
Date 3 11/15
Acting Regional Judicial Officer
RECEIVED

MAR 1 2 2015

EPA ORC Office of Regional Hearing Clerk

Oil Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Inspection Findings and Violations Form

Company Name:		Docket Number:	
Dorr O	oil Company, Inc.	CWA-01-2015-0031	UNITED STATES
Facility 1	Name:	Date of Inspection:	
S.A.A		April 3, 2013 February 13, 2014	TO NEW AND THE CHANGE
Address:			AL PROTECT
595 Bo	nnet Street		
City:		Inspector's Name:	
Manchester Center		Joseph Canzano, Oil Spill Pro Coordinator	evention Compliance
State: Zip Code:		Enforcement Contact:	
VT	05255	Joseph Canzano, Oil Spill Pro Coordinator Tel: 617-918-1763	evention Compliance
Facility Contact:			
Donald D. Dorr		(802) 362-1950	

Summary of Findings

On April 3, 2013 and February 13, 2014, EPA conducted inspections at the facility. The facility, at the time of the inspections, was not fully implementing its SPCC Plan and required amendment. In addition, EPA observed certain oil storage containers without sized secondary containment, mobile and portable containers stored in areas without potential secondary containment, inadequate warning signage at transfer stations, gasoline and oil pipes weeping and leaking, fuel-hose/pipe to dispensers laying on the ground and not protected, and oil piping supports not proper.

On April 10, 2014, EPA sent the facility a Request for Information. Since EPA's inspections the facility initiated on-the-ground improvements, and on July 11, 2014, responded to EPA's Request; and on December 30, 2014 submitted an amended SPCC Plan and achieved compliance.

The facility stores more than 210,000 gallons of oil (gasoline, kerosene, off-road and on-road diesel, and biodiesel and No. 2) aboveground and includes two loading racks and multiply fuel dispenser stations. An oil spill as a potential to flow overland to roadside trenches and ultimately to West Branch of the Battenkill River.

MAR 1 2 2015

EPA ORC WS
Office of Regional Hearing Clerk

(Bulk Storage Facilities)

GENERAL TOPICS: 40 CFR 112.3(a), (d), (e); 112.5(a), (b), (c); 112.7 (a), (b), (c), (d)

No Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plan-112.3
Plan not certified by a professional engineer- 112.3(d)
The December 3, 2013 SPCC Plan ("the Plan"), provided to EPA for review, was not stamped by a professional engineer.
Certification lacks one or more required elements - 112.3(d)(1)
No management approval of plan- 112.7
The Plan did not include Mr. Dorr's signature or date.
Plan not maintained on site (if manned at least four (4) hrs/day) or not available for review - 112.3(e)(1)
No evidence of five-year review of plan by owner/operator- 112.5(b)
No plan amendment(s) if the facility has had a change in: design, construction, operation, or maintenance which affects the facility's discharge potential- 112.5(a)
Amendment(s) not certified by a professional engineer- 112.5(c)
Plan does not follow sequence of the rule and/or cross-reference not provided- 112.7
Plan does not discuss additional procedures/methods/equipment not yet fully operational- 112.7
Plan does not discuss alternative environmental protection to SPCC requirements- 112.7(a)(2)
Plan has inadequate or no facility diagram- 112.7(a)(3)
The site diagram and Plan was inadequate regarding where on the property portable oil filled containers and tanker trucks (mobile refueler) are located when not in use, and certain drainage ditches/discharge points off the property.
Inadequate or no listing of type of oil and storage capacity layout of containers- 112.7(a)(3)(i)
Inadequate or no discharge prevention measures- 112.7(a)(3)(ii)
Inadequate or no description of drainage controls- 112.7(a)(3)(iii)
Inadequate or no description of countermeasures for discharge discovery, response and cleanup- 112.7(a)(3)(iv)
Recovered materials not disposed of in accordance with legal requirements- $112.7(a)(3)(v)$
No contact list & phone numbers for response & reporting discharges- 112.7(a)(3)(vi)
Plan has inadequate or no information and procedures for reporting a discharge- 112.7(a)(4)
Plan has inadequate or no description and procedures to use when a discharge may occur- 112.7(a)(5)
Inadequate or no prediction of equipment failure which could result in discharges- 112.7(b)

	Plan does not discuss and facility does not implement appropriate containment/diversionary structures/equipment-112.7(c)
	- If claiming impracticability of appropriate containment/diversionary structures:
	Impracticability has not been clearly denoted and demonstrated in plan- 112.7(d)
	No contingency plan- 112.7(d)(1)
	No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(d)(2)
	No periodic integrity and leak testing, if impracticability is claimed - 112.7(d)
	Plan has no or inadequate discussion of general requirements not already specified-112.7(j)
	QUALIFIED FACILITY REQUIREMENTS: 112.6
	Qualified Facility: No Self certification- 112.6(a)(1)(Tier I) or (b)(1)(Tier II)
	Qualified Facility: Self certification lacks required elements- 112.6(a)(1)(Tier I) or (b)(1)(Tier II)
	Qualified Facility: Technical amendments not certified- 112.6(a)(2)(Tier I) or (b)(2)(Tier II)
	Qualified Facility: Un-allowed deviations from requirements- 112.6(a)(Tier I) or (b)(Tier II)
	Qualified Facility: Environmental Equivalence or Impracticability not certified by PE- 112.6(b)(3)(Tier II)
	WRITTEN PROCEDURES AND INSPECTION RECORDS 112.7(e)
П	Plan does not include inspections and test procedures in accordance with 40 CFR Part 112 - 112.7(e)
	Inspections and tests required are not in accordance with written procedures developed for the facility- 112.7(e)
	No inspection records available for review - 112.7(e)
	Are not signed by appropriate supervisor or inspector- 112.7(e)
	Tank and piping inspection checklist in the Plan did not include the inspection for oil drums, and portable and mobile tanks, or location for management and inspectors name and date of inspection.
	Are not maintained for three years- 112.7(e)
	PERSONNEL TRAINING AND DISCHARGE PREVENTION PROCEDURES 112.7(f)
	No training on the operation and maintenance of equipment to prevent discharges and or facility operations $-112.7(f)(1)$
	No training on discharge procedure protocols- 112.7(f)(1)
	No training on the applicable pollution control laws, rules, and regulations and/or SPCC plan- 112.7(f)(1)

Training records not maintained for 3 years- 112.7(f)(1)
No designated person accountable for spill prevention- 112.7(f)(2)
Spill prevention briefings are not scheduled and conducted at least annually- 112.7(f)(3)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of personnel and spill prevention procedures-112.7(a)(1)
SECURITY (excluding Production Facilities) 112.7(g)
Master flow and drain valves that permit direct outward flow to the surface are not secured in closed position when in a non-operating or standby status- $112.7(g)$.
Starter controls on pumps are not locked in the "off" position or located at a site accessible only to authorized personnel when pumps are not in a non-operating or standby status- 112.7(g)
Loading and unloading connection(s) of piping/pipelines are not capped or blank-flanged when not in service or standby status- 112.7(g)
Facility lighting not adequate to facilitate the discovery of spills during hours of darkness and to deter vandalism- 112.7(g)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility security-112.7(a)(1), (g)
FACILITY TANK CAR AND TANK TRUCK LOADING/UNLOADING 112.7(c) and/or (h-j)
Inadequate containment for Loading Area (not consistent with 112.7(c)) - 112.7(c)
Inadequate secondary containment, and/or rack drainage does not flow to catchment basin, treatment system, or quick drainage system- 112.7(h)(1)
EPA observed the ground to the containment area for a certain loading rack pitching away from the quick drainage spill capture system (road side trench) due to accumulated snow and ice conditions which resulted in an inadequate secondary containment for the loading rack.
Containment system does not hold at least the maximum capacity of the largest single compartment of any tank car or tank truck- 112.7(h)(1)
There are no interlocked warning lights, or physical barrier system, or warning signs, or vehicle brake interlock system to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines- 112.7(h)(2)
EPA observed no warning signs to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines.
There is no inspection of lowermost drains and all outlets prior to filling and departure of any tank car or tank truck- $112.7(h)(3)$
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility tank car and tank truck loading/unloading rack-112.7(a)(1)

 QUALIFIED OIL OPERATIONAL EQUIPMENT 112.7(k)
Failure to establish and document procedures for inspections or a monitoring program to detect equipment failure and/or a discharge- $112.7(k)(2)(i)$
Failure to provide an oil spill contingency plan- 112.7(k)(2)(ii)(A)
No written commitment of manpower, equipment, and materials- 112.7(k)(2)(ii)(B)
FACILITY DRAINAGE 112.8(b) & (c)
Secondary Containment circumvented due to containment bypass valves left open and/or pumps and ejectors not manually activated to prevent a discharge- 112.8(b)(1)&(2) and 112.8(c)3)(i)
Dike water is not inspected prior to discharge and/or valves not open & resealed under responsible supervision-112.8(c)(3)(ii)&(iii)
Adequate records (or NPDES permit records) of drainage from diked areas not maintained- 112.8(c)(3)(iv)
Drainage from undiked areas do not flow into catchment basins ponds, or lagoons, or no diversion systems to retain or return a discharge to the facility- $112.8(b)(3)&(4)$
Two "lift" pumps are not provided for more than one treatment unit- 112.8(b)(5)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility drainage-112.7(a)(1)
BULK STORAGE CONTAINERS 112.8(c)
Plan has inadequate or no risk analysis and/or evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture - 112.7(i)
Failure to conduct evaluation of field-constructed aboveground tanks for brittle fracture- 112.7(i)
Material and construction of tanks not compatible to the oil stored and the conditions of storage such as pressure and temperature - $112.8(c)(1)$
Secondary containment appears to be inadequate - 112.8(c)(2)
EPA observed numerous oil filled drums throughout the facility that did not have sized secondary containment, and bulk aboveground tanks with potential for inadequate sized secondary containment from potential improper manual positioning for isolation valve(s).
Containment systems, including walls and floors are not sufficiently impervious to contain oil - 112.8(c)(2)
Excessive vegetation which affects the integrity
Walls of containment system slightly eroded or have low areas
Completely buried tanks are not protected from corrosion or are not subjected to regular pressure testing - $112.8(c)(4)$
Partially buried tanks do not have buried sections protected from corrosion- 112.8(c)(5)
Aboveground tanks are subject to visual inspections - 112.8(c)(6)

Aboveground tanks are subject to periodic integrity testing, such as hydrostatic, nondestructive methods, etc $112.8(c)(6)$
The Plan did not include a schedule for tanks and piping inspections for integrity. Integrity testing for tanks shall be conducted in accordance with specified industrial standards and specification.
Records of inspections (or customary business records) do not include inspections of tank supports/foundation, deterioration, discharges and/or accumulations of oil inside diked areas- 112.8(c)(6)
Steam return /exhaust of internal heating coils which discharge into an open water course are not monitored, passed through a settling tank, skimmer, or other separation system- 112.8(c)(7)
Tank battery installations are not in accordance with good engineering practice because <u>none</u> of the following are present- $112.8(c)(8)$
No testing of liquid level sensing devices to ensure proper operation- 112.8(c)(8)(v)
Effluent treatment facilities which discharge directly to navigable waters are not observed frequently to detect oil spills- $112.8(c)(9)$
Causes of leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas are not promptly corrected- 112.8(c)(10)
EPA observed (in two locations) oil weeping and leaks from piping – weeping and leaks had been on-going and not addressed promptly until EPA's inspection.
Mobile or portable storage containers are not positioned to prevent discharged oil from reaching Waters of the U.S $112.8(c)(11)$
EPA observed oil tanker trucks (mobile refuelers) were not positioned in areas throughout the facility to prevent an oil discharge to surface waters, and the Plan was silent on the matter.
Secondary containment inadequate for mobile or portable storage tanks- 112.8(c)(11)
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of bulk storage tanks-112.7(a)(1)
FACILITY TRANSFER OPERATIONS, PUMPING, AND FACILITY PROCESS 112.8(d)
Buried piping is not corrosion protected with protective wrapping, coating, or cathodic protection -112.8(d)(1)
Corrective action is not taken on exposed sections of buried piping when deterioration is found- 112.8(d)(1)
Not-in-service or standby piping are not capped or blank-flanged and marked as to origin- 112.8(d)(2)
Pipe supports are not properly designed to minimize abrasion and corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction- $112.8(d)(3)$
EPA observed improper supports (in more than one location) for aboveground oil piping which connect and move oil between tanks and trucks and or dispensers.
Aboveground valves, piping and appurtenances are not inspected regularly- 112.8(d)(4)
Periodic integrity and leak testing of buried piping is not conducted- 112.8(d)(4)

Vehicle traffic is not warned of aboveground piping or other oil transfer operations- 112.8(d)(5)
EPA observed no warning signs to prevent vehicular departure before complete disconnect from transfer lines.
Plan has inadequate or no discussion of facility transfer operations, pumping, and facility process-112.7(a)(1)
Plan does not include a signed copy of the Certification of the Applicability of the Substantial Harm Criteria - 112.20(e)

End of Checklist.

In the Matter of Dorr Oil Company, Inc. EPA Docket No. CWA-01-2015-0031

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing Expedited Settlement Agreement was transmitted to the following persons, in the manner specified, on the date below:

Original and one copy

hand-delivered:

Wanda Santiago

Regional Hearing Clerk

U.S. EPA, Region I

5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 Boston, MA 02109-3912

Copy by certified mail, return receipt requested:

Donald D. Dorr, President Dorr Oil Company, Inc. 595 Bonnet Street

Manchester Center, VT 05255

Dated: March 12, 2015

Heather Thompson

Office of Environmental Stewardship U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,

Region I

5 Post Office Square, Suite 100

Boston, MA 02109-3912 Phone: (617) 918-1320 Fax: (617) 918-320